

Extension of Some Common Fixed Point Theorems using Compatible Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Space

Vineeta Singh

(S.A.T.I., Vidisha) S. K. Malhotra (Govt. Benazir College, Bhopal) Subject Classification 54H25, 47H10

Abstract: In this paper we have proved some common Fixed Point theorems for four mappings using the notion of compatibility.

Keywords: Fuzzy Metric Space, Compatible Mappings

I. Introduction

The concept OF Fuzzy sets was investigated by Zadeh [1]. Here we are dealing with the fuzzy metric space defined by Kramosil and Michalek [2] and modified by George and Veeramani [3]. Grabiec[4] has also proved fixed point results for fuzzy metric space with different mappings. Singh and Chauhan[5] gave the results using the concept of compatible mappings in Fuzzy metric space. Jungck [6] introduced the concept of compatible mapping of type (A) and type (B)In fuzzy metric space. Singh and jain [7] proved the fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space using the concept of compatibility and semicompatibly. Sharma[8] also done work on compatible mappings.

II. FUZZY METRIC SPACE

Definition[2]: A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is said to be a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times [0, \infty]$ satisfying the following conditions

- (f1) $M(x, y, t) > 0$
 - (f2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$
 - (f3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t);$
 - (f4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s),$
 - (f5) $M(x, y, .) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous.
- $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$

Then M is called a fuzzy metric on X . Then $M(x, y, t)$ denotes the degree i.e. of nearness between x and y with respect to t .

Compatible and Non compatible mappings: Let A and S be mapping from a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself. Then the mappings are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1, \forall t > 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x \in X$$

from the above definition it is inferred that A and S are non compatible maps from a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = x \in X$$

but either

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) \neq 1, \text{ or the limit does not exist.}$$

Main Results:

Theorem:- Let A, B, S, T be self maps of complete fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ such that $a * b = \min(a, b)$ for some y in X .

- (a) $A(X) \subset T(X), B(X) \subset S(X), T(Y) \subset A(Y)$
- (b) S and T are continuous.

(c) $[A,S],[B,T]$ are compatible pairs of maps

(d) For all x,y in $X, k \in (0,1), t > 0$.

$$M(Ax,By,kt) \geq \min \{ M(Sx,Ty,t), M(Ax,Sx,t), M(By,Ty,t), M(By,Sx,t), M(Ax,Ty,t), M(Ay,Tx,t) \}$$

For all $x,y \in X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x,y,t) \rightarrow 1$ then A,B,S,T have a common fixed point in X .

Proof:- Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Construct a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n-1} = Tx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2}$

And $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1} = Tx_{2n}$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Put $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) = M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt)$$

$$\geq \min \{ M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M((Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Bx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, t) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t), 1 \}$$

Which implies

$$\{ M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \}$$

In general

$$\{ M(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t) \} \tag{1}$$

To prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence we will prove (b) is true for all $n \geq n_0$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\{ M(y_n, y_{n+m}, t) > 1 - \lambda \} \tag{2}$$

Here we use induction method

From(1) we have

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t/k) \geq M(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, t/k^2) \geq \dots \geq M(y_0, y_1, t/k^n) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

i.e for $t > 0, \lambda \in (0,1)$. We can choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\{ M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) > 1 - \lambda \} \tag{3}$$

Thus (2) is true for $m=1$. Suppose (2) is true for m then will show that it is true for $m+1$. By the definition of fuzzy metric space, we have

$$M(y_n, y_{n+m+1}, t) \geq \min \{ M(y_n, y_{n+m}, t/2), M(y_{n+m}, y_{n+m+1}, t/2) \} > 1 - \lambda$$

Hence(2) is true for $m+1$. Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By completeness of $(X, M, *)$, $\{y_n\}$ Converge (

Using (3), we have $M(ASx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t/2) \rightarrow 1$

$$M(SAx_{2n}, Sz, t) \geq \min \{ M(ASx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t/2), M(SAx_{2n}, Sz, t/2) \} > 1 - \lambda$$

For all $n \geq n_0$

$$\text{Hence } ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz = TSx_{2n} \tag{4}$$

Similarly

$$BTx_{2n-1} \rightarrow Tz = ATx_{2n-1} \tag{5}$$

Now put $x = Sx_{2n}$ and $y = Tx_{2n-1}$

$$M(ASx_{2n}, BTx_{2n-1}, kt) \geq \min \{ M(S^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n-1}, t), M(ASx_{2n}, S^2x_{2n}, t), M(BTx_{2n-1}, T^2x_{2n-1}, t), M(BTx_{2n-1}, S^2x_{2n}, t), M(ASx_{2n}, T^2x_{2n-1}, t), M(TSx_{2n}, ATx_{2n-1}, t) \}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (4) and (5)

We get $M(Sz, Tz, kt) \geq M(Sz, Tz, t)$, which implies

$$Sz = Tz \tag{6}$$

Now put $x=y$ and $y = Tx_{2n-1}$

$$M(Ay, BTx_{2n-1}, kt) \geq \min \{ M(Sy, T^2x_{2n-1}, t), M(Ay, Sy, t), M(BTx_{2n-1}, Sy, t), M(Ay, T^2x_{2n-1}, t), M(Ty, ATx_{2n-1}, T) \}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5) and (6) we get

$$Az = Tz \tag{7}$$

Now using (6) and (7)

$$M(Az, Bz, kt) \geq \min \{ M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bz, Tz, t), M(Bz, Sz, t), M(Az, Tz, t), M(Az, Tz, t) \}$$

$$= \min \{ M(Tz, Tz, t), M(Az, Az, t), M(Az, Bz, t), M(Az, Bz, t), M(Az, Az, t), M(Az, Bz, t) \}$$

$$\geq M(Az, Bz, t)$$

Which implies $Az = Bz$

Using (6),(7) and (8)

We get

$$Az = Bz = Sz = Tz$$

Now

$$M(Ax_{2n}, Bz, kt) \geq \min \{ M(Sx_{2n}, Tz, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), M(Bz, Tz, t), M(Bz, Sx_{2n}, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tz, t), M(Tx_{2n}, Az, t) \}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (9) we get

$$Z = Bz$$

Thus z is common fixed point of A, B, S, T .

For uniqueness let w be another common fixed point then we have

$$M(Az, Bw, kt) \geq \min \{M(Sz, Tw, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bw, Tw, t), M(Bw, Sw, t), M(Az, Tw, t), M(Tz, Aw, t)\}$$

i.e. $M(z, w, kt) \geq M(z, w, t)$

hence $z = w$ this completes the proof.

III. Conclusion

Here we proved the theorem using the notion of compatibility without exploiting the condition of t-norm.

References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Infor. and Control, 8(1965), pg338-353.
- [2] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, 11(1975), pg336-344.
- [3] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64(1994),pg 395-399.
- [4] M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27(1988),pg 385-389.
- [5] B.Singh, M.S. Chauhan "Common fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric space", Fuzzy sets and systems 115(2000)pg. 471-475.
- [6] G.Jungck "Compatible mappings and common fixed points" International journal math sci 9(1986)pg 779-791
- [7] Bijendra Singh, Shishir Jain "Semi compatibility, Compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space Journal of the Chung Cheong mathematical society vol 18 no1 april (2005)
- [8] Sushil Sharma" On fuzzy metric space" South east Asian Bulletin of Mathematics (2002)26,pg 133-145.